State of the Art Review



Resilience in SMEs

Maria Wishart Enterprise Research Centre and Warwick Business School Maria.Wishart@wbs.ac.uk

SOTA Review No 15: December 2018

SMEs (firms with fewer than 250 employees) make an important contribution to employment and value creation across Europe, and so ensuring that they are able to withstand adversity is of interest to many varied stakeholders. However, most resilience research to date has focused upon large organisations, with the implicit assumption that findings also apply to small This ignores the unique contextual and structural organisations. characteristics that define many SMEs. Where SMEs have been considered, resilience research has focused on SME characteristics and capabilities and on the individual resilience of the leaders of SMEs. Little research has considered practical interventions which may improve resilience in SMEs. Exploring contextual aspects unique to SMEs that may work to their advantage in developing resilience, interrogating the link between leader resilience and organisation resilience, and exploring SME resilience in the context of the organisation's geographical location offer potentially fruitful avenues for future research.

Background

Resilience refers to an organisation's ability to respond positively to adversity. A highly resilient organisation is more adaptive, competitive, agile and robust than less resilient organisations and may rebound from adversity strengthened and more resourceful (Denyer, 2017). Adversity comes in many forms, both external and internal to an organisation, and a substantial body of research examining business resilience has developed in recent years. The majority of this work has focused upon larger businesses and their environments (Sullivan-Taylor and Branicki, 2011) and several reviews of this body of work are available (e.g., Linnenluecke, 20717; Korber and McNaughton, 2017; Kossek and Perrigion, 2016). Although SMEs are often under-prepared for adversity, both empirical and theoretical research examining SME resilience is relatively scarce (Battisti and Deakins, 2015). Here, we consider what distinguishes SMEs' experience of adversity and the factors which shape their responses.

Evidence

Three main strands of SME resilience research can be identified which focus on: (i) the characteristics and capabilities of SMEs; (ii) the resilience of the leader of an SME and its connection to the resilience of the organisation; and (iii) interventions designed to increase the resilience of SMEs.

Resource and capability constraints linked to size are often characterised as an obstacle to resilience in SMEs. Perhaps unsurprisingly, accessing finance is a strong focus, and studies have identified that the absence of a secure funding sources can compromise an SME's ability to withstand shocks (e.g., Cowling et al, 2014; McGuinness and Hogan, 2014). The strategic priorities of an SME have also been examined, with the optimisation of strategic assets (Pal et al, 2014), an innovation focus (Demmer et al, 2012), and early internationalisation (Hilmersson, 2014) all suggested as potential routes to longer-term resilience and survival. Evidence also suggests that building strong networks (Battisti and Deakins, 2017) and involving employees in governance (Lampel et al, 2014) can be effective in shock-proofing SMEs.

An important debate emerging from this strand of research focuses on whether a short-term focus in SMEs reduces their ability to withstand shocks. If SMEs focus on immediate priorities, they may lack the ability to plan for adversity and therefore be less resilient. The counter argument suggests that SMEs' short-term focus makes them flexible and responsive in the face of adversity. The empirical evidence is limited and ambiguous. Key papers in this debate are summarized in Table 1.

Authors	Methodology	Key issues	Key finding
Sullivan-Taylor and	Qualitative data from	Assesses SME	SME managers lack
Branicki (2011)	UK-based SMEs,	resourcefulness,	capacity to plan, as
	across a range of	technical,	evidenced by low
	sectors	organisational and	scores for
		rapidity capabilities	resourcefulness,
			technical and
			organisational
			capabilities, and
			tend to muddle
			through, but can
			think on their feet
			(rapidity)
Reymen et al (2015)	Multiple case study.	Considers	Being flexible and
	High technology	entrepreneurial	rapidly responsive
	SMEs in Holland,	decision-making in	allows SMEs to deal
	Belgium & Germany	uncertain conditions	with uncertainty in
			an effective manner
Herbane (2010)	Multiple case study.	Examines crisis	SME managers tend
	UK SMEs in IT,	management in	to firefight in the
	retail, consultancy &	SMEs	face of crises rather
	training sectors		than to proactively
			plan for them.
Ates and Bitici	Multiple case study.	Assesses change	Short term focus
(2011)	European	management	impacts on
	manufacturing	capabilities in SMEs	(reduces) SME
	SMEs		resilience

 Table 1: SME ability to plan for crisis and the link to resilience

Resilient leaders have been strongly linked with businesses that grow (Ayala and Manzano, 2014) and with businesses that demonstrate the resourceful behaviours which underpin resilience (Powell and Baker, 2011). A range of competencies and traits present in SME leaders have been linked to the resilience of firms (see Table 2), including mind set, risk appetite and flexibility. However, the evidence base remains partial and further investigation could offer valuable insights into the dynamic between the entrepreneur and their organisation.

Authors	Methodology	Key issues	Key findings
Conz et al (2015)	Multiple case study. Italian wine producers	Consider ability of leader to implement a range of strategies	Strategic diversity is the key to SME resilience
Smallbone et al (2012)	Survey research. UK and New- Zealand SMEs, range of sectors	Consider SME leader performance in downturn	Strategic flexibility & adaptability central to SME resilience
Bamiatzi and Kirchmaier (2014)	Multiple case study. UK SMEs, range of sectors	Assess strategies for growth in declining markets	Leaders who embrace higher risk strategies drive resilience
Doern (2016)	Qualitative data from London-based SMEs across a range of sectors, following riots	Distinguishes between containment and anticipation mind- sets in leaders	Leaders who adopt an anticipation mind- set, have a positive influence on SME resilience

Table 2: SME leader resilience and the link to SME resilience

The strand of scholarship that investigates practical interventions to develop resilience in SMEs is in its infancy. Research has identified organisational development programmes focusing on collaboration and coaching (Gray and Jones, 2016), technology training (Gunasekaran et al, 2011) mentoring (Bullough and Renko, 2013) and team-building (Blatt, 2009) as interventions which may help to build resilience in SMEs. The choice of physical location and to the extent to which SMEs are embedded within local communities has also attracted some attention (e.g., Williams and Nadin, 2010; Williams and Huggins, 2013).

Evidence gaps

Business resilience research to date has tended to focus on larger firms and has assumed that findings are likely to apply to the SME context (Ates and Bitici, 2011). Resilience research with an overtly SME focus is emergent, but there are several promising areas for future attention. Firstly, although research indicates that SMEs are less likely to plan for adversity due to size and resource constraints, this lack of planning has also been characterised as a source of resilience insofar as it allows SMEs to be flexible and responsive. This is at odds with broader resilience research and advice, which often advocates contingency planning for resilience, and it suggests that a more fine-grained analysis is needed to understand the link between firm size, planning activities and the ability to withstand shocks. Additionally, SME resilience research indicates a stronger link between the personal resilience of a firm's leader and the resilience of any research that really interrogates this link, to elucidate the relationship between personal leader resilience and

organisational resilience, indicates a gap in our knowledge in this area which merits investigation. Understanding this link may well have practical as well as policy implications. Finally, interventions including coaching, training and team-building have been advanced as ways to foster resilience in SMEs. However, the literature focusing on such interventions is limited and further research is needed to develop a thorough understanding around the connections between the interventions and the resilience of the SMEs. The same applies to research which considers the role of place and community in building resilient SMEs, where continued focus would appear to be an obvious area for future research which could benefit SMEs and the communities they inhabit.

Sources

- Ates, A., & Bititci, U. (2011). Change process: a key enabler for building resilient SMEs. International Journal of Production Research, 49(18), 5601-5618.
- Ayala, J.-C., & Manzano, G. (2014). The resilience of the entrepreneur. Influence on the success of the business. A longitudinal analysis. Journal of Economic Psychology, 42, 126-135.
- Bamiatzi, V., C., & Kirchmaier, T. (2012). Strategies for superior performance under adverse conditions: A focus on small and medium-sized high-growth firms. International Small Business Journal, 32(3), 259-284.
- Battisti, M., & Deakins, D. (2017). The relationship between dynamic capabilities, the firm's resource base and performance in a post-disaster environment. International Small Business Journal, 35(1), 78-98.
- Blatt, R. (2009). Resilience in Entrepreneurial Teams: Developing the Capacity to Pull Through (Vol. 29).
- Bullough, A., & Renko, M. (2013). Entrepreneurial resilience during challenging times. Business Horizons, 56, 343-350.
- Conz, E., Denicolai, S., & Zucchella, A. (2017). The resilience strategies of SMEs in mature clusters. Journal of Enterprising Communities, 11(1), 186-210.
- Cowling, M., Liu, W., Ledger, A., & Zhang, N. (2014). What really happens to small and medium-sized enterprises in a global economic recession? UK evidence on sales and job dynamics. International Small Business Journal, 33(5), 488-513.
- Demmer, W. A., Vickery, S. K., & Calantone, R. (2011). Engendering resilience in small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): a case study of Demmer Corporation. International Journal of Production Research, 49(18), 5395-5413.
- Doern, R., Williams, N., & Vorley, T. (2016). Entrepreneurship and Crises: Business as Usual? Entrepreneurship and Regional Development, 28(5-6), 471-475.
- Gray, D., & Jones, K. F. (2016). Using organisational development and learning methods to develop resilience for sustainable futures with SMEs and micro businesses. Journal of Small Business & Enterprise Development, 23(2), 474.
- Gunasekaran, A., Rai, B. K., & Griffin, M. (2011). Resilience and competitiveness of small and medium size enterprises: an empirical research. International Journal of Production Research, 49(18), 5489-5509.
- Herbane, B. (2010). Small business research: Time for a crisis-based view. International Small Business Journal, 28(1), 43-64.
- Hilmersson, M. (2014). Small and medium-sized enterprise internationalisation strategy and performance in times of market turbulence. International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship, 32(4), 386-400.

- Korber, S., & McNaughton, R., B. . (2017). Resilience and entrepreneurship: a systematic literature review. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research.
- Lampel, J., Bhalla, A., & Jha, P. P. (2014). Does governance confer organisational resilience? Evidence from UK employee owned businesses. European Management Journal, 32, 66-72.
- Linnenluecke, M. K. (2017). Resilience in Business and Management Research: A Review of Influential Publications and a Research Agenda. International Journal of Management Reviews, 19(1), 4-30.
- McGuinness, G., & Hogan, T. (2014). Bank credit and trade credit: Evidence from SMEs over the financial crisis. International Small Business Journal, 34(4), 412-445.
- Pal, R., Torstensson, H., & Mattila, H. (2014). Antecedents of organizational resilience in economic crises—an empirical study of Swedish textile and clothing SMEs. International Journal of Production Economics, 147, 410-428.
- Powell, E. E., & Baker, T. (2011). Beyond making do: Towards a theory of entrepreneurial resourcefulness. Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research, 31(12).
- Reymen, I., Andries, P., Berends, H., Mauer, R., Stephan, U., & Van Burg, J. C. (2015). Understanding dynamics of strategic decision - making in venture creation: a process study of effectuation and causation. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 9, 351-379.
- Smallbone, D., Deakins, D., Battisti, M., & Kitching, J. (2012). Small business responses to a major economic downturn: Empirical perspectives from New Zealand and the United Kingdom. International Small Business Journal, 30(7), 754-777.
- Sullivan-Taylor, B., & Branicki, L. (2011). Creating resilient SMEs: why one size might not fit all. International Journal of Production Research, 49(18), 5565-5579.
- Williams, C. C., & Nadin, S. (2010). The commonality and character of off-the-books entrepreneurship: a comparison of deprived and affluent urban neighbourhoods. Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship, 15(3), 345-358.
- Williams, N., & Huggins, R. (2013). Supporting entrepreneurship in deprived communities: a vision too far? Journal of Small Business & Enterprise Development, 20(1), 165.

About the author



Maria Wishart is Research Fellow- Business Resilience at Enterprise Research Centre and Warwick Business School. Following an undergraduate degree in Business Management with French, and 17 years working in a variety of consumer marketing roles, Maria completed an MSc in Management & Business Research Methods and an MA in Philosophy. Her PhD research focuses on the moral identity work of senior business managers in UK forprofit businesses. She can be contacted at Maria.Wishart@wbs.ac.uk

Other SOTA Reviews are available on the ERC web site www.enterpriseresearch.ac.uk. The views expressed in this review represent those of the authors and are not necessarily those of the ERC or its funders.



Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy





Intellectual Property Office