

State of the Art Review



What do we know about factors that affect business investment decisions?

Eugenie Golubova Enterprise Research Centre Aston Business School e.golubova@aston.ac.uk

SOTA Review No 62: August 2024

The UK has a longstanding 'productivity puzzle', which has received considerable attention. In the global financial crisis the UK's productivity fell more and then recovered slower than in other OECD countries. One of explanations given for the UK's lagging productivity growth is the lower business investment rates found in the UK compared to other comparable economies. The process of investment decision-making and the factors that feed into it is an understudied field that this paper aims to address by reviewing key evidence from the post global financial crisis period. The review identified the following factors affecting firms' business investment decisions: firm size, exporting status, business structure and sector, financial health, funding sources and access to finance, human capital and management practices, return on investment, indirect benefits of investment, firmlevel and macro-economic uncertainty, business leaders' attitudes and perceptions, internal and external stakeholders, other investment options and investment history, policy intervention, especially monetary and fiscal policies, and macro-economic factors or shocks that affect market uncertainty and cost of investment. Many factors appear to apply to both 'tangible' and 'intangible' investments, though some factors have differential effects. The main evidence gaps are: a lack of uniform measures for many factors in the literature, as well as a lack of evidence on the process of investment decision-making, on stakeholders and the circumstances of their involvement, and on motivations to invest.

Background

Following the global financial crisis in 2007-2008, the UK experienced a sharper decline and slower recovery in productivity growth when compared to other advanced OECD economies (UK Government, 2019). We know that productivity varies between business sectors: for example, the service sector has traditionally experienced lower productivity than the manufacturing sector and the UK's productivity growth slowdown has been contributed to the slowdown in the latter (Tenreyro et al., 2018, Tsoukalas, 2021). However, the UK's poor productivity performance compared to other countries in the last decade cannot be fully explained by differences in industrial structure.¹ This has led to the UK's slow recovery phenomenon being referred to as a 'productivity puzzle'.

The exact causes of the productivity puzzle remain unclear (McCann and Vorley, 2020), although one of the frequently cited explanations focuses on business investment levels. There is a recognised link between business investment and higher productivity growth (Bank of England, 2021, Luong and Hewitt-Dundas 2020). Business investment additionally has a number of other positive economic outcomes for firms and the economy as a whole such as higher growth and employment (Audretsch and Belitski, 2021, Pope et al., 2022). However, the UK has shown some of the lowest business investment rates among the OECD countries (Tsoukalas, 2021). This makes research into business investment decisions and factors that affect them salient.

Business investment is classified into two types - tangible (also often referred to as capital investment) and intangible:

- Tangible investment consists of physical assets such as machinery, equipment, vehicles, buildings, plants, etc.
- Intangible investment refers to non-monetary assets such as research and development (R&D), intellectual property, branding, marketing, staff training and education, organisational efficiency, service design, etc.

This distinction between tangibles and intangibles is important for studying productivity and business investment: despite historically low interest rates, coupled with a higher rate of return on capital, British firms have not invested as much into capital as could have been expected based on standard economic theory. This is known as a 'missing investment puzzle' and it can be partly explained by firms making intangible investments instead of tangible investments (Bailey et al., 2022). While there is good evidence that intangible investments enhance productivity (Karmakar et al., 2022), business sectors with the highest share of intangible assets experienced stronger slowdown in productivity growth, which indicates that there might be unique factors related to intangible investment: for example, it is harder to use intangible assets as a collateral when borrowing to fund investment and thus productivity improvements (Bailey et al., 2022). Measuring intangible investment is also more challenging: UK National Accounts capture some, but not all, intangible capital (Wilkes, 2022).

This paper summarises the key extant literature published since the global financial crisis (post 2008) on the factors that affect business investment decisions at the firm level.

Research evidence

Firm characteristics and resources

In terms of business characteristics, the evidence shows that larger business size (Fernandez de Guevara et al., 2021; Knuutila and Vuorio, 2023, Ozbugday et al., 2022) and exporting are associated with higher investment (Peters et al., 2022, Bomprezzi et al., 2022). Firm structure also has an impact, though research findings here are not uniform: foreign-ownership has been associated with both higher and lower investment (Hrovatin et al., 2016, Rud et al., 2023), family-owned firms might be investing less due to conflicting investment priorities and risk-averseness (Kostka et al., 2013, Sekerci, 2020), while publicly-listed companies are more likely to invest in R&D (BEIS, 2022). Firm

¹ For example, see PwC, "UK Economic Outlook November 2019"

structure also determines incentives for investing, with some studies showing that higher executive compensation and bonuses reduce investment, possibly because decision-makers become more risk-averse (Adu-Ameyaw et al., 2022, Adelopo et al., 2023). The relationship of business sector to factors that affect investment is unclear as findings of studies vary in terms of whether sectors react differently to the same factors, if they do - which sectors are affected, and under what circumstances (e.g., exporting or not) (Yang et al., 2020, Nguyen and Trinh, 2023, Andersson et al., 2023 (1)).

Financially better-off firms invest more in both tangible and intangible assets (Evemy et al., 2023, Sakai, 2020, Melollina et al., 2018). Studies define financial health in various ways, such as cashflow, credit rating, leverage, indebtedness, current tangible and intangible assets, as well as access to finance. The latter is particularly important to small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and firms in rural areas as they find it harder to access finance to fund investments (Andersson et al., 2023 (2)). Sources of funding can also affect investments: for example, credit finance tends to fund capital investments, while technology investments are more likely to be internally funded (Long et al., 2020, Yang et al., 2020).

If firms have higher human capital - training, knowledge, technical expertise etc. - this positively affects business investment, particularly into intangibles, which require more specialised information (Lai et al., 2015, Zhang and Islam, 2020, Moreno-Mondejar and Cuerva, 2020). Firms with better management practices (e.g., monitoring performance) also invest more because, for instance, they forecast growth more accurately and identify investment opportunities better (Brandily et al., 2023).

Decision-making processes

Turning to decision-making, the evidence shows that firms invest based on an expected return on investment (ROI), typically understood in economic terms (Feulefack and Sergi, 2015, Globisch and Dütschke, 2020, Nabarro 2022). Firms prioritise investments with more certain and quicker returns (Klemick et al., 2019). Uncertainty is a key barrier to investment, especially for intangibles, which are considered riskier (Jones et al., 2021, Knuutila and Vuorio, 2023, Venmans, 2014).

Investment might also offer indirect benefits, such as future learning or increased productivity, but, typically, firms do not consider these (Kalantzis and Niczyporuk, 2022). This is often due to a lack of information and the associated costs to obtain it (Nehler and Rasmussen, 2016). Indirect benefits of investing in energy efficiency and novel technologies in particular are harder for firms to take into account (Rasmussen, 2020, Nehler et al., 2014) and risk-averse management might not want to invest in them (Vecciolini 2019).

Various other attitudinal aspects of investment decision-makers are covered in the literature. For instance, leaders tend to invest if they perceive it as contributing to the main business objectives (Cooremans and Schonenberger, 2019), if they see strategic value in the investment area (Sheehan and Garavan, 2022), if they perceive the feasibility and desirability of investments to be higher (Koryak et al., 2015), and if they have business growth ambitions (Roper and Bourke, 2018). Optimistic managers invest and over-invest in capital (Elgebeily et al., 2021), while as we might expect, climate sceptics invest less in energy efficiency (Knuutila and Vuorio, 2023).²

In addition to business leaders, there is emerging evidence that some other internal and external stakeholders can increase investment in their respective fields, though this evidence is varied. This includes:

² It needs noting that this body of evidence applies to different types and objectives of investment.

- External energy efficiency consultants (Hoppmann et al., 2018, Globisch and Dütschke, 2020).
- Cooperating with other firms in R&D (Aboal and Garda, 2016).
- Technological collaboration with universities or research centres (Batalla-Busquets and Myrthianos, 2015).
- Energy/sustainability or facilities management teams (Globisch and Dütschke, 2020).
- Internal or external stakeholders with the role to promote productivity growth (Jones et al., 2021).
- IT managers (Turedi and Zhu, 2019).

Finally, decisions to invest need to be taken in consideration with other/previous investments (Ikonnikova et al., 2022, Klemick et al., 2019). For instance, firms that previously invested in R&D or energy efficiency are more likely to invest again (Costa-Campi et al., 2019), while capital investments might be made to facilitate process innovation (Costa-Campi et al., 2019, Zhang and Islam, 2020, Knuutila and Vuorio, 2023). Sometimes the decision to invest again is based on sunk costs (Manez et al., 2015). Investments might also crowd out each other: green investment is found to crowd out other types of investment (Hrovatin et al., 2016, Weche, 2019).

External factors

There seems to be a broad consensus in the literature that government policies impact business investment (Stern et al., 2020, van Ark et al., 2023). Targeted public policy affects specific investments, for example, financial support for R&D increases R&D investment (Becker, 2015, Hud and Hussinger, 2015). Environmental regulation and its levers (e.g., investment subsidies, carbon taxation) typically promotes green investments (Garcia-Quevedo and Jove-Llopis, 2021, Yang, 2023, Wilkes, 2022). Public investment overall, especially in innovation, infrastructure and human capital, can also encourage capital investments (Carella et al., 2023).

Fiscal policy, especially tax policy (e.g., capital tax, corporation tax, dividend taxes) affects investment by impacting business finances and cost of capital (Brusco and Glass, 2023, Jacob, 2021, Adam et al., 2022). So does monetary policy, sometimes to the point of crowding out investment types: low interest rates were found to incentivise firms to switch from productive to capital investment (Evemy et al., 2023, Brito et al., 2018). Intangible investments and firms with a large share of intangible assets appear to be less sensitive to monetary and fiscal policies: for instance, the higher depreciation rates of intangible assets are less affected by interest rates (Dottling and Ratnovski, 2020, Hanappi et al., 2023).

Other external factors, typically macro-economic such as market demand, affect firms' investment decisions (TPI, 2023). Notably, uncertainty discourages firms from investing (Melollina, 2017, Smietanka et al., 2018). To illustrate, in the UK, capital investment slowed down due to EU-exit and related uncertainty (Bank of England, 2021). Any factors that affect the costs and affordability of investment, directly or indirectly, affect investment levels: stock prices or their volatility might distort investment decisions through misleading price signals (Xiao, 2020, Alaali, 2020); real estate prices can serve as collateral to access credit to fund investment (Fougere et al., 2019); lower marginal cost of capital incentivises capital investments and vice versa (Dinh et al., 2013); external shocks such as the COVID-19 pandemic increase business debt and reduce investment (Bank of England, 2022); higher energy prices or energy usage incentivises firms to invest in energy efficiency (Uz, 2018, Cooremans and Schonenberger, 2019).

Overview and evidence gaps

This paper summarises the key factors that have been found to affect business investment in the post global financial crisis period. Some factors emerge as having differential effects on investment in tangible or intangible assets (e.g., fiscal policy, capital costs); however, many factors apply to both investment types.

First, businesses invest differently depending on their size, export status, sector and ownership structure. Larger firms and those that export are more likely to invest, however the evidence is not conclusive or uniform in case of other firm characteristics. The literature tends to show that firms that are financially better off invest more. This goes beyond just the availability of funding: various financial measures might be relevant, including current tangible and intangible assets; firms might use different funding sources, internal or external, to finance different investment types; when using external funding, access to finance is a consideration, especially for SMEs; and costs and macro-economic factors that affect costs introduce further incentives/disincentives to invest. In addition to financial resources, firms' human capital and good management practices enable firms to invest, especially in intangible assets that are more likely to require specialised expertise to assess them, their returns and their implementation.

Second, the primary driver of business investment appears to be a positive assessment of return on investment (ROI) - perceived or evaluated - mainly financial return. Firms can consider other indirect benefits of their investments (e.g., higher productivity), though these are typically harder for firms to identify and measure. The key barrier to investment is uncertainty: at firm-level on ROI as well as wider macroeconomic uncertainty which can be caused by various shocks. Uncertainty appears to have a stronger negative impact on intangible investments and investments in novel technologies that have higher associated risks and less known or certain returns.

Third, the literature highlights a variety of business leaders' perceptions and motivations that affect various types of investment. These could be summarised in saying that a positive attitude towards business growth and specific investments make decision-makers more likely to invest and vice versa. Furthermore, while leaders and managers seem to be the primary decision-makers, a number of different internal and external stakeholders feed into investment decision-making processes. Based on the evidence, stakeholder type seems to be dictated by investment type and objective (e.g., sustainability teams affect green investments). Different investment decisions might also interact, and the institutional history of investment decisions within firms affects current decision-making.

Lastly, certain external influences affect business investment decisions. Public policy support, such as financial support (e.g., R&D subsidies), and environmental regulation (for green and energy efficiency investments) tends to increase investment. Fiscal and monetary policies and public investment in general also influence business investment. Whether they incentivise, disincentivise or have unintended consequences, such as crowding out certain investment types, depends on policy specifics. However, intangible investments are less sensitive to fiscal and monetary policies because the latter primarily affect the cost of capital.

While the literature shows a number of internal and external factors affect business investment, evidence gaps remain. Beyond the aversion to uncertainty and perceptions on ROI, studies offer diverse measures of other factors including financial health or human resources. These are less uniform when it comes to business leaders' perceptions and attitudes. Furthermore, the exact mechanisms of how and why different factors

feature in decision-making are less known. Additionally, the literature highlights relatively few studies focusing on who makes investment decisions, what other actors are involved, and in what circumstances. The existing evidence base on these topics further differs in terms of investment types and investment objectives. Understanding the motivation for investment and its process and how it varies between different firms and investment purposes is important for it may have implications for productivity.

To narrow this evidence gap, ERC is at the time of writing undertaking a joint research project with the Productivity Institute (TPI) into what shapes firms' motivation and ability to make productivity-related investments. A large-scale UK representative business survey and a series of in-depth company studies aimed at examining business investment decision-making is underway, with results expected in 2025.

Sources

- Aboal, D., & Garda, P. (2016). Technological and non-technological innovation and productivity in services vis-a-vis manufacturing sectors. Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 25(5), 435-454. doi:10.1080/10438599.2015.1073478
- Adam S., Delestre, I., Nair, V., "Corporation tax and investment", Institute for Fiscal Studies, IFS Report R225, IFS Green Budget 2022
- Adelopo, I., Adu-Ameyaw, E., Cheung, K. Y., & Bako, H. S. (2023). Managerial compensation and firm performance: The moderating role of managerial ownership and other governance factors. *Journal of Corporate Accounting and Finance*, 34(3), 31-46. doi:10.1002/jcaf.22609
- Adu-Ameyaw, E., Danso, A., & Hickson, L. (2022). Growth opportunity and investment policy: The role of managerial incentives. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 43(8), 3634-3646. doi:10.1002/mde.3619
- Alaali, F. (2020). The effect of oil and stock price volatility on firm level investment: The case of UK firms. Energy Economics, 87. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2020.104731
- Andersson, M., Eklund, J. E., & Tsvetkova, A. (2023) (2). Spatial variations in financial constraints of SMEs-evidence from firm-level estimates of investment-cash flow sensitivities in Sweden. Small Business Economics, 60(4), 1683-1698. doi:10.1007/s11187-022-00673-y
- Andersson, M., Kusetogullari, A., & Wernberg, J. (2023) (1). Coding for intangible competitive advantage-mapping the distribution and characteristics of softwaredeveloping firms in the Swedish economy. *Industry and Innovation, 30*(1), 17-41. doi:10.1080/13662716.2022.2112396
- Audretsch, D. B., & Belitski, M. (2021). Knowledge complexity and firm performance: evidence from the European SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management, 25*(4), 693-713. doi:10.1108/jkm-03-2020-0178
- Bailey, A., Cesa-Bianchi, A.m Garofalo, M., Harrison, R., McLaren, N., Piton S. and Sajedi R., "Structural change, global R* and the missing-investment puzzle", Staff Working Paper No. 997, Bank of England, October 2022
- Bank of England, "Influences on investment by UK businesses: evidence from the Decision Maker Panel", Quarterly Bulletin 2021 Q2, Published on 25 June 2021
- Bank of England, "Mountains of debt and investment flows: what can we learn from SMEs' investment behaviour during and after the global financial crisis?", 13 May 2022

- Batalla-Busquets, J.-M., & Myrthianos, V. (2015). The impact of innovation and the use of ICTs on human capital development in Spanish industry. Intangible Capital, 11(2), 249-269. doi:10.3926/ic.423
- Becker, B. (2015). PUBLIC R&D POLICIES AND PRIVATE R&D INVESTMENT: A SURVEY OF THE EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE. *Journal of Economic Surveys, 29*(5), 917-942. doi:10.1111/joes.12074
- BEIS Research Paper Number 2022/018, The impact of listing on business investment Evidence from UK corporation tax data, November 2022
- Bomprezzi P., Marchesi S., Turk-Arissl R., International Monetary Fund, "Do IMF Programs Stimulate Private Sector Investment?", WP/22/157, Jul 2022
- Brandily P., Distefano M., Shah K., Thwaites G., Valero A., "Beyond Boosterism : Realigning the policy ecosystem to unleash private investment for sustainable growth", Resolution Foundation, June 2023
- Brito, S., Magud, N., E., and Sosa S., "Real Exchange Rates, Economic Complexity, and Investment", IMF Working Paper WP/18/107, Institute for Capacity Development, International Monetary Fund, May 2018
- Brusco, G., & Glass, B. (2023). Risky business: policy uncertainty and investment. International Tax and Public Finance, 30(5), 1331-1345. doi:10.1007/s10797-022-09757-7
- Carella, A., Chen R., and Shao X., "Enhancing Business Investment in the United Kingdom", SIP/2023/050, International Monetary Fund, July 2023
- Cooremans, C., & Schonenberger, A. (2019). Energy management: A key driver of energy-efficiency investment? Journal of Cleaner Production, 230, 264-275. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.333
- Dinh, T. M., Malesky, E., To, T. T., & Nguyen, D. T. (2013). Effect of interest rate subsidies on firm performance and investment behavior during economic recession: Evidence from Vietnam. Asian Economic Journal, 27(2), 185-207. doi:10.1111/asej.12009
- Dottling R. and Ratnovski L., IMF, "Monetary Policy and Intangible Investment", IMF Working paper WP/20/160, August 2020
- Elgebeily, E., Guermat, C., & Vendrame, V. (2021). Managerial optimism and investment decision in the UK. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance, 31*. doi:10.1016/j.jbef.2021.100519
- Evemy, J., Berry, C., & Yates, E. (2023). Low interest rates, low productivity, low growth? A multi-sector case study of UK-based firms' funding and investment strategies in the context of loose monetary policy. New Political Economy. doi:10.1080/13563467.2023.2240237
- Fernandez de Guevara, J., Maudos, J., & Salvador, C. (2021). Effects of the degree of financial constraint and excessive indebtedness on firms' investment decisions. Journal of International Money and Finance, 110. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2020.102288
- Feulefack, J., & Sergi, C. (2015). R&D implementation in a department of laboratory medicine and pathology: a systematic review based on pharmaceutical companies. *Global journal of health science*, 7(4), 70-82. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v7n4p70
- Fougere, D., Lecat, R., & Ray, S. (2019). Real Estate Prices and Corporate Investment: Theory and Evidence of Heterogeneous Effects across Firms. Journal of Money Credit and Banking, 51(6), 1503-1546. doi:10.1111/jmcb.12631

- Garcia-Quevedo, J., & Jove-Llopis, E. (2021). Environmental policies and energy efficiency investments. An industry-level analysis. Energy Policy, 156. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112461
- Globisch, J., & Dütschke, E. (2020). How do companies decide on non-strategic energy efficiency issues? An in-depth study of the decision-making process. Paper presented at the Eceee Industrial Summer Study Proceedings.
- Gornicka L., IMF Working Paper, "Brexit Referendum and Business Investment in the UK", Wp/18/247, International Monetary Fund, October 2018
- Hanappi T., Millot V., Turban S., OECD, "How does corporate taxation affect business investment? Evidence from aggregate and firm-level data", OECD Economics Department Working Papers no. 1765, 4 July 2023
- Hoppmann, J., Sakhel, A., & Richert, M. (2018). With a little help from a stranger: The impact of external change agents on corporate sustainability investments. Business Strategy and the Environment, 27(7), 1052-1066. doi:10.1002/bse.2051
- Hrovatin, N., Dolsak, N., & Zoric, J. (2016). Factors impacting investments in energy efficiency and clean technologies: empirical evidence from Slovenian manufacturing firms. Journal of Cleaner Production, 127, 475-486. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.039
- Hud, M., & Hussinger, K. (2015). The impact of R&D subsidies during the crisis. Research Policy, 44(10), 1844-1855. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2015.06.003
- Ikonnikova, S. A., Neyra, V. d. C., & Berdysheva, S. (2022). Investment choices and production dynamics: The role of price expectations, financial deficit, and production constraints. Journal of Economics and Business, 120. doi:10.1016/j.jeconbus.2022.106067
- Jacob, M. (2021). Dividend taxes, employment, and firm productivity. Journal of Corporate Finance, 69. doi:10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.102040
- Jones, O. W., Gold, J., & Devins, D. (2021). SME productivity stakeholders: getting in the right orbit. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management,* 70(2), 233-255. doi:10.1108/ijppm-06-2019-0274
- Kalantzis, F., & Niczyporuk, H. (2022). Labour productivity improvements from energy efficiency investments: The experience of European firms. Energy, 252. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2022.123878
- Karmakar S., Melolinna M., Schnattinger P., Bank of Enlgand, "What is productive investment? Insights from firm-level data for the United Kingdom", Staff Working Paper no. 997, July 2022
- Klemick, H., Kopits, E., & Wolverton, A. (2019). How do data centers make energy efficiency investment decisions? Qualitative evidence from focus groups and interviews. Energy Efficiency, 12(5), 1359-1377. doi:10.1007/s12053-019-09782-2
- Knuutila, M., & Vuorio, A. (2023). Temporal-orientation in organizational decision-making: Factors affecting willingness to execute energy efficiency investments in business premises. Energy, 271. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2023.127076
- Koryak, O., Mole, K. F., Lockett, A., Hayton, J. C., Ucbasaran, D., & Hodgkinson, G. P. (2015). Entrepreneurial leadership, capabilities and firm growth. International Small Business Journal-Researching Entrepreneurship, 33(1), 89-105. doi:10.1177/0266242614558315
- Kostka, G., Moslener, U., & Andreas, J. (2013). Barriers to increasing energy efficiency: evidence from small-and medium-sized enterprises in China. Journal of Cleaner Production, 57, 59-68. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.06.025

- Lai, Y.-L., Lin, F.-J., & Lin, Y.-H. (2015). Factors affecting firm's R&D investment decisions. Journal of Business Research, 68(4), 840-844. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.11.038
- Long, T. Q., Morgan, P. J., & Sonobe, T. (2020). Investment behavior of MSMEs during the downturn periods: Empirical evidence from Vietnam. Emerging Markets Review, 45. doi:10.1016/j.ememar.2020.100739
- Luong H.M. and N. Hewitt-Dundas, "The interrelationship between R&D, Innovation and Productivity: Evidence for micro-enterprises", ERC Research Report, 2020
- Manez, J. A., Rochina-Barrachina, M. E., & Sanchis-Llopis, J. A. (2015). The Dynamic Linkages Among Exports, R&D and Productivity. World Economy, 38(4), 583-612. doi:10.1111/twec.12160
- McCann, P., and Vorley, T., 2020, "Introduction to productivity perspectives" in P. McCann, and T. Vorley, eds. Productivity perspectives. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 1–17
- Melollina M, Miller H., Tatomir S., Bank of England, "Business investment, cost of capital and uncertainty in the United Kingdom — evidence from firm-level analysis", Staff Working Paper No. 717, March 2018
- Melollina M., Bank of England, "What drives business investment in the United Kingdom? Results from a firm-level VAR approach", Staff working paper no. 646, February 2017
- Moreno-Mondejar, L., & Cuerva, M. C. (2020). Fostering investment in resource efficiency actions: the case of European SMEs. Energy Efficiency, 13(7), 1329-1351. doi:10.1007/s12053-020-09888-y
- Nabarro B., "UK outlook: why we need to do things differently", IFS Report R221, Institute for Fiscal Studies, IFS Green Budget 2022
- Nehler, T., & Rasmussen, J. (2016). How do firms consider non-energy benefits? Empirical findings on energy-efficiency investments in Swedish industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *113*, 472-482. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.11.070
- Nehler, T., Thollander, P., Ottosson, M., & Dahlgren, M. (2014). Including non-energy benefits in investment calculations in industry Empirical findings from Sweden. Paper presented at the Eceee Industrial Summer Study Proceedings.
- Ozbugday, F. C., Ozgur, O., & Findik, D. (2022). Drivers of energy efficiency for manufacturing SMEs in Eurasian countries: a profiling analysis using machine learning techniques. Energy Efficiency, 15(7). doi:10.1007/s12053-022-10060-x
- Peters, B., Roberts, M. J., & Van Anh, V. (2022). Firm R&D investment and export market exposure. Research Policy, 51(10). doi:10.1016/j.respol.2022.104601
- Pope, T., Hourston P., and Shearer E., "Levelling up and innovation How R&D and other policy can reduce regional inequality", IfG Insight, Institute for Governmet, July 2022
- Rasmussen, J. (2020). The Role of Structural Context in Making Business Sense of Investments for Sustainability-A Case Study. *Sustainability*, *12*(17). doi:10.3390/su12177006
- Roper S. and Bourke J., "Industry 4.0 is coming: Is digital adoption a new mechanism linking entrepreneurial ambition to business performance? Evidence from microbusinesses in the UK, Ireland and USA", ERC Research Paper 72, October 2018
- Rud, I., Vancauteren, M., van Roekel, H. W. H., & Polder, M. (2023). The Relationship Between R&D and Exports in Goods and Services of Firms in the Netherlands: An Empirical Analysis. *Journal of Industry Competition & Trade*. doi:10.1007/s10842-023-00405-2

- Sakai, H. (2020). Did financing constraints cause investment stagnation in Japan after the 1990s? Journal of Corporate Finance, 64. doi:10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101673
- Sekerci, N. (2020). Factors Associated with Strategic Corporate Decisions in Family Firms: Evidence from Sweden. *International Review of Finance, 20*(1), 45-75. doi:10.1111/irfi.12217
- Sheehan, M., & Garavan, T. (2022). High-performance work practices and labour productivity: a six wave longitudinal study of UK manufacturing and service SMEs. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(16), 3353-3386. doi:10.1080/09585192.2021.2005658
- Smietanka, P., Bloom, N., and Mizen P., Staff Working Paper No. 753 "Business investment, cash holding and uncertainty since the Great Financial Crisis", Bank of England, August 2018
- Stern, N., Unsworth, S., Valero, A., Zenghelis, D., Rydge, J., Robins, N., Strategy, Investment and Policy for a Strong and Sustainable Recovery: An Action Plan, "CEP COVID-19 Analysis", Centre for Economic Performance, July 2020
- Tenreyro S., et al., "The fall in productivity growth: causes and implications", Bank of England, 2018
- Costa-Campi, M., Duch-Brown, N., & Garcia-Quevedo, J. (2019). Innovation strategies of energy firms. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 26(5), 1073-1085. doi:10.1002/csr.1787
- The Productivity Institute (TPI), "Transcript of the evidence session on the Underperformance of Business Investment", 26 January 2023
- Tsoukalas J., "Scotland' s Productivity Challenge: Exploring the issues", The Productivity Institute Productivity Insights Paper No.006, 2021
- Turedi, S., & Zhu, H. (2019). How to Generate More Value from IT: The Interplay of IT Investment, Decision Making Structure, and Senior Management Involvement in IT Governance. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 44, 511-536. doi:10.17705/1cais.04426
- UK Government, "Business Productivity review", November 2019; UK Parliament, "Small businesses and productivity", Fifteenth Report of Session 2017–19, 2018
- Uz, D. (2018). Energy efficiency investments in small and medium sized manufacturing firms: The case of California energy crisis. Energy Economics, 70, 421-428. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2017.12.006
- Van Ark B., de Vries K., Pilat D., "Are Pro-Productivity Policies Fit for Purpose?", The Productivity Institute Working Paper No. 038, September 2023
- Vecciolini C., "Gearing up for digital transformation How the UK Digital Strategy can underpin productivity growth", Institute for Industrial Strategy, King's College London, April 2019
- Venmans, F. (2014). Triggers and barriers to energy efficiency measures in the ceramic, cement and lime sectors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 69, 133-142. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.076
- Weche, J. P. (2019). Does green corporate investment crowd out other business investment? Industrial and Corporate Change, 28(5), 1279-1295. doi:10.1093/icc/dty056
- Wilkes G., Institute for Government, "Business investment. Not just one big problem", August 2022
- Xiao, S. C. (2020). Do Noisy Stock Prices Impede Real Efficiency? Management Science, 66(12). doi:10.1287/mnsc.2019.3422

- Yang, S. (2023). Carbon emission trading policy and firm's environmental investment. Finance Research Letters, 54. doi:10.1016/j.frl.2023.103695
- Yang, S., Milner, C., Lancheros, S., & Gunessee, S. (2020). Access to Finance, Technology Investments and Exporting Decisions of Indian Services Firms. Open Economies Review, 31(5), 1009-1036. doi:10.1007/s11079-020-09595-2
- Zhang, J., & Islam, M. S. (2020). The Heterogeneous Impacts of R&D on Innovation in Services Sector: A Firm-Level Study of Developing ASEAN. Sustainability, 12(4). doi:10.3390/su12041643

About the author



Eugenie Golubova is a Research Fellow at Enterprise Research Centre (ERC) at Aston University Business School. Eugenie has been working on business support evaluations and research projects for public and private sector clients, most recently studying how firms make export decisions for the UK Department for Business & Trade. Eugenie has over 13 years of work experience in research and evaluation.

Other SOTA Reviews are available on the ERC web site www.enterpriseresearch.ac.uk. The views expressed in this review represent those of the authors and are not necessarily those of the ERC or its funders.



Published by Enterprise Research Centre (ERC) © The Enterprise Research Centre 2024